Cognitive Barriers to Risk Communication

Copyright © 1992 by Peter M. Sandman

- 1. "Nobody around here is especially outraged about our activities, so there is no need to change."
- 2. "Addressing the outrage might make things worse, so it is best to let sleeping dogs lie."
- 3. "Admitting the merits of the opposition's arguments will only make them stronger. The best defense is a good offense."
- 4. "Once people are outraged, the die is cast and it is too late to reduce the outrage."
- 5. "Outrage is caused by environmental activism, and a beleaguered regulator or company should say so."
- 6. "It is unscientific and dishonest to accept exaggerated hazard claims in the name of outrage reduction."
- 7. "Outrage is irrational, and giving in to outrage is a victory for emotion and a defeat for reason."
- 8. "Quantitative risk assessment is an increasingly strong science that makes continuing deference to the public's outrage unnecessary and even unethical."
- 9. "No matter how attractive outrage reduction might be, it increases liability and is therefore an unfeasible strategy."
- 10. "Outrage reduction is likely to work *too* well, leaving an apathetic and therefore unsafe public."

For more about my take on this issue, see:

- "Chapter 5: Yes, Buts: The Cognitive Barriers" in *Responding to Community Outrage: Strategies for Effective Risk Communication* (1993) www.psandman.com/media/RespondingtoCommunityOutrage.pdf
- Implementing Risk Communication: Overcoming the Barriers (1994) http://vimeo.com/20353084
- Lawyers and Outrage Management (Jul 2002) www.psandman.com/col/lawyers.htm